Graph-structured Data

Advanced Topics in Foundations of Databases, University of Edinburgh, 2019/20

Graph Databases and Applications

- Graph databases are crucial when topology is as important as the data
- Several modern applications
 - Semantic Web and RDF
 - Social networks
 - Knowledge graphs
 - etc.



Graph Databases vs. Relational Databases

• Simply use standard relational databases



- Problems:
 - We need to navigate the graph recursion is needed
 - We can use Datalog performance issues (complexity mismatch, basic static analysis tasks are undecidable)

Graph Data Model

- Different applications gave rise to different graph data models
- But, the essence is the same

finite, directed, edge labeled graphs

Graph Data Model

An graph database G over a finite alphabet Λ is a pair



Path in G:
$$\pi = v_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} v_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} v_3 \cdots v_k \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} v_{k+1}$$

The label of π is $\lambda(\pi) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 ... \alpha_k \in \Lambda^*$

Graph Database: Example

A graph database representation of a fragment of DBLP



Regular Path Queries (RPQs)

Basic building block of graph queries

- First studied in 1989
- An RPQ is a regular expression over a finite alphabet Λ
- Given a graph database G = (V, E) over Λ and RPQ Q over Λ

 $Q(G) = \{(v,u) \mid v,u \in V \text{ and } v,u \in V \}$

there is a path π from v to u such that $\lambda(\pi) \in L(\mathbb{Q})$

RPQs With Inverses (2RPQs)

Extension of RPQs with inverses - two-way RPQs

- First studied in 2000
- 2RPQs over $\Lambda = RPQs$ over $\Lambda^{\pm} = \Lambda \cup \{\alpha^{-} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$
- Given a graph database G = (V, E) over Λ and 2RPQ Q over Λ

$$Q(G) = Q(G^{\pm})$$

 $\int \int dx$
obtained from G by adding $u \xrightarrow{\alpha} v$ for each $v \xrightarrow{\alpha} u$

Querying Graph Database

Compute the pairs (c,d) such that author c has published in conference or journal d



Querying Graph Database

Compute the pairs (c,d) such that author c has published in conference or journal d



Querying Graph Database

Compute the pairs (c,d) such that author c has published in conference or journal d



Evaluation of 2RPQs

EVAL(2RPQ)

Input: a graph database G, a 2RPQ Q, two nodes v,u of G **Question:** $(v,u) \in Q(G)$?

It boils down to the problem:

RegularPath **Input:** a graph database G over Λ , a regular expression Q over Λ^{\pm} , two nodes v,u of G **Question:** is there a path π from v to u in G[±] such that $\lambda(\pi) \in L(Q)$

Complexity of RegularPath

Theorem: RegularPath can be solved in time $O(|G| \cdot |Q|)$

Proof Idea: by exploiting nondeterministic finite automata (NFA)

- Compute in linear time from Q an equivalent NFA A_Q
- Compute in linear time an NFA A_G obtained from G[±] by setting v and u as initial and finite states, respectively
- There is a path π from v to u in G^{\pm} such that $\lambda(\pi) \in L(\mathbb{Q})$ iff $L(A_G) \cap L(A_Q)$ is non-empty
- Non-emptiness can be checked in time $O(|A_{Q}| \cdot |A_{Q}|) = O(|G| \cdot |Q|)$

A graph database can be naturally seen as an NFA

- nodes are states
- edges are transitions

Complexity of 2RPQs

We immediately get that:

Theorem: EVAL(**2RPQ**) can be solved in time $O(|G| \cdot |Q|)$

Regarding the data complexity (i.e., **Q** is fixed):

Theorem: EVAL[**Q**] (**2RPQ**) is in NLOGSPACE

(by exploiting the previous automata construction)

Limitation of RPQs

RPQs are not able to express arbitrary patterns over graph databases
 (e.g., compute the pairs (c,d) that are coauthors of a conference paper)

- We need to enrich RPQs with joins and projections
 - Conjunctive regular path queries (CRPQs)
 - C2RPQs if we add inverses

C2RPQs: Example

Compute the pairs (c,d) that are coauthors of a conference paper



C2RPQs: Example

Compute the pairs (c,d) that are coauthors of a conference paper

 $Q(x,u) := (x, creator^{-}, y), (y, partOf \cdot series, z), (y, creator, u)$



C2RPQs: Formal Definition

A C2RPQ over an alphabet Λ is a rule of the form

 $Q(z) := (x_1, Q_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, Q_n, y_n)$

where x_i , y_i are variables,

 Q_i is a 2RPQ over Λ ,

 \boldsymbol{z} are the output variables from $\{x_1,\,y_1,\,...,\,x_n,\,y_n\}$

Remark: C2RPQs are more expressive than 2RPQs (previous example)

Evaluation of C2RPQs

To evaluate a C2RPQ of the form

 $Q(z) := (x_1, Q_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, Q_n, y_n)$

we simply need to evaluate the conjunctive query

 $Q(z) := Q_1(x_1, y_1), ..., Q_n(x_n, y_n)$

where each Q_i stores the result of evaluating the 2RPQ Q_i

Complexity of C2RPQs

Theorem: EVAL(**C2RPQ**) is NP-complete

Proof Hints:

- **Upper bound:** polynomial time reduction to EVAL(**CQ**)
- **Lower bound:** inherited from CQs over graphs

Regarding the data complexity (i.e., **Q** is fixed):

Theorem: EVAL[**Q**](**C2RPQ**) is in NLOGSPACE

Basic Graph Query Languages: Recap

- Two-way regular path queries (2RPQs)
 - Can be evaluated in linear time in combined complexity, and in NLOGSPACE in data complexity

- Conjunctive 2RPQs (C2RPQs)
 - Evaluation is NP-complete in combined complexity, and in NLOGSPACE in data complexity

Towards Tractable C2RPQs

Recall acyclic conjunctive queries



Acyclic C2RPQs

A C2RPQ is acyclic if its underlying CQ is acyclic

 $Q := (x, Q_1, x), (x, Q_2, y), (y, Q_3, x)$

 $Q := (x, Q_1, y), (y, Q_2, z), (z, Q_3, x)$



Equivalently, the underlying graph does not contain cycles of length ≥ 3

Complexity of Acyclic C2RPQs



Proof Idea: recall that we can reduce EVAL(C2RPQ) to EVAL(CQ)

Simple Path Semantics

Simple Path: No node is repeated

In this case, EVAL(2RPQ) boils down to the problem:

RegularSimplePath

Input: a graph database G over Λ , a regular expression **Q** over Λ^{\pm} ,

two nodes v,u of G

Question: is there a simple path π from v to u in G^{\pm} such that $\lambda(\pi) \in L(\mathbb{Q})$

Simple Path Semantics

Theorem: RegularSimplePath is NP-complete

Theorem: RegularSimplePath[**Q**] is NP-complete (data complexity)

- RegularSimplePath_{(0.0)*}
- Is there a simple directed path of even length? NP-complete
- NP-complete data complexity means impractical

Containment of Graph Queries

CONT(L)

Input: two queries $Q_1 \in L$ and $Q_2 \in L$

Question: $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$? (i.e., $Q_1(G) \subseteq Q_2(G)$ for every graph database G?)

Containment of Graph Queries

Theorem: CONT(**RPQ**) is PSPACE-complete

Proof Hint: exploit containment of regular expressions

Theorem: CONT(2RPQ) is PSPACE-complete

Proof Hint: exploit containment of two-way automata, while the lower bound is inherited from RPQs

Theorem: CONT(**C2RPQ**) is EXPSPACE-complete

Proof Hint: exploit containment of two-way automata, while the lower bound is by reduction from a tiling problem

Querying Graphs With Data

• So far queries talk about the topology of the data

• However, graph databases contain data - data graphs

 We have query languages that can talk about data paths (obtained by replacing each node in a path by its value)